

Potential In-Situ Chemical Treatment Strategy for Remediation of PFAS Compounds Destruction of Emerging Contaminants – PFOS/PFOA

The sources, fate, transport, and remediation of perfluorinated and polyfluorinated alkyl substances (PFAS) are of considerable interest today. This broad group of compounds is proving to be present in groundwater at a wide range of sites, often comingled with other contaminants such as chlorinated solvents and petroleum hydrocarbons. One characteristic uniting these compounds is carbon to fluorine (C-F) bonds, which are among the strongest bonds in organic chemistry. This makes PFAS compounds useful for a wide range of applications, but also makes them very difficult to remediate.

The susceptibility of PFAS compounds to in-situ chemical remediation, by either oxidative or reductive approaches, is an area of active research. Some groups of PFAS compounds are readily susceptible to chemical oxidation utilizing methods that generate hydroxyl and/or sulfate radicals. However, oxidative treatment is commonly incomplete and more recalcitrant PFAS compounds, such as perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA), are reported as reaction products that are not further degraded (e.g., Houtz and Sedlak, 2012; McKenzie et al., 2015; Santos et al., 2016).



However, other researchers report degradation of PFAS compounds including PFOA under oxidizing conditions (e.g., Liu et al., 2012; Park et al., 2016), via reactions that sequentially eliminate CF₂ groups and produce shorter-chained intermediate and final products. This pattern suggests that nucleophilic attack by reactive species other than hydroxyl or sulfate radicals is responsible. Mitchell et al. (2014) report PFOA is mineralized in catalyzed hydrogen peroxide reactions that primarily produce superoxide radicals and hydroperoxide, rather than hydroxyl or sulfate radicals. Superoxide and hydroperoxide are reactive oxygen species that can be formed in some oxidative treatment systems; they are strong nucleophiles and can attack a wide range of compounds that are not susceptible to oxidative degradation by hydroxyl or sulfate radicals.

Geo-Cleanse has developed and patented (U.S. Patent 8,556,537) an in-situ chemical remediation approach that effectively generates superoxide radicals. This method was initially developed to target compounds such as chloromethanes (e.g., carbon tetrachloride) and certain fluorinated compounds (e.g., Freon 113) that are not reactive towards hydroxyl radicals but are readily destroyed by superoxide. We have now completed research to extend application of our method to PFAS compounds. Our method utilizes reaction of hydrogen peroxide with manganese dioxide under neutral to slightly basic conditions to effectively produce superoxide radicals. Laboratory tests confirm that this approach effectively destroys PFOA, without measurable formation of shorter-chained PFAS compounds (Figure 1); indeed,

some shorter-chained PFAS compounds (such as PFHpA) that are present at trace concentrations in reagent blanks are also destroyed (Figure 1).





Additional research is needed to further optimize our approach and improve PFAS degradation. We have had considerable success with this approach at DNAPL sites impacted with a wide range of comingled compounds, and thus our approach may also be applicable at typical fire training or other sites in which PFAS compounds are comingled with a range of other contaminants, without concern that incomplete degradation will transform PFAS compounds into more mobile or toxic intermediates.

For additional information regarding this article, please contact:

Dr. Dan Bryant (<u>dbryant@geocleanse.com</u>) or Will Moody (<u>wmoody@geocleanse.com</u>). Geo-Cleanse International, Inc. Phone: 732.970.6696

About Geo-Cleanse International, Inc.

Geo-Cleanse is one of the most experienced in-situ remediation contractors in the world, with over 20 years of experience in design and implementation of innovative, in-situ chemical treatment technologies. Geo-Cleanse has completed hundreds of field-scale in-situ chemical remediation programs across the U.S., and has been active internationally since 1999. Our team has over 40 years of ISCO experience combined, focusing primarily on the injection and emplacement of the reagents required for sites. As industry leaders our experience includes the first successful in-situ chemical remediation programs for chlorinated solvent dense, non-aqueous phase liquids, manufactured gas plant constituents, energetics, and chloromethanes. Our completed projects include two of the largest in-situ chemical remediation programs remediation projects ever completed, and several high-profile Superfund and brownfield sites.

Please visit our website for additional information: <u>www.GeoCleanse.com</u>

References

- Houtz, E.F., and Sedlak, D.L. 2012. Oxidative conversion as a means of detecting precursors to perfluoroalkyl acids in urban runoff. *Environmental Science & Technology*, v. 46, p. 9342-9349.
- Liu, C.S., Higgins, C.P., Wang, F., and Shih, K. 2012. Effect of temperature on oxidative transformation of perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA) by persulfate activation in water. *Separation and Purification Technology*, v. 91, p. 46-51.
- McKenzie, E.R., Siegrist, R.L., McCray, J.E., and Higgins, C.P. 2015. Effects of chemical oxidants on perfluoroalkyl acid transport in one-dimensional porous media columns. *Environmental Science & Technology*, v. 49, 1681-1689.
- Mitchell, S.M., Ahmad, M., Teel, A.L., and Watts, R.J. 2014. Degradation of perfluorooctanoic acid by reactive species generated through catalyzed H2O2 propagation reactions. *Environmental Science & Technology Letters*, v. 1, 117-121.
- Park, S., Lee, L.S., Medina, V.F., Zull, A., and Waisner, S. 2016. Heat-activated persulfate oxidation of PFOA, 6:2 fluorotelomer sulfonate, and PFOS under conditions suitable for in-situ groundwater remediation. *Chemosphere*, v. 145, 376-383.
- Santos, A., Rodríguez, S., Pardo, F., and Romero, A. 2016. Use of Fenton reagent combined with humic acids for the removal of PFOA from contaminated groundwater. *Science of the Total Environment*, p. 563-564, p. 657-663.